# Course Title: Introduction to Global Governance and Public Policy

**Instructor**: Daniel Large

**ECTS**: 2

**Term:**  Winter 2024 (AY 2023/2024)

**Mode**: In person

**Class Times**: TBC

**Module:** Mandatory Elective

**Relation to other courses:** None (Governance specialisation)

**Office Hours**: Wednesdays 15.00-16.00 Room B419

**Background and Overall Aim of the Course**

This course is an intensive introduction to the historical context, concepts and debates concerning global governance and public policy. It seeks to combine academic analysis with policy relevance and, throughout, emphasizes active learning. Part 1 (classes 2-5) examines the conceptual, empirical and historical foundations of global governance and global policy, with a particular focus on public goods. Part II (classes 6-9) explores key institutions and actors in global public policy, and how ongoing changes in global politics are affecting global governance and public policy. Global governance continues to adjust to the realities and complexities of our world, including one institutional adjustment known as multi-stakeholder partnerships (MSP) that we will consider using the case of the Extractive Industry Transparency Initiative. However, from geopolitical contestation, armed conflict to climate change, the very idea of effective global governance is also in question. In conclusion, we will consider the current uncertainties and unknowns that surround the future of global governance and prospects for effective global public policy.

**Learning Outcomes**

By the end of the course, students will:

* Be literate in key themes, concepts and debates concerning global governance and public policy; and be able to apply these to practical problems.
* Have an enhanced understanding of how ongoing changes in global politics are impacting global governance and the prospects for global public policy;
* Have been introduced to MSPs as an innovation in global governance;
* Attained the foundations for further, reflexive study and practice in global governance.

**Learning Activities and Teaching Methods**

This course emphasis active learning and seeks to connect theory and practice. It uses a variety of methods: short presentations, virtual classroom discussions, small group work, case studies and presentations. This is an introductory course catering to diverse backgrounds. As such, if you are under-challenged, please ask and ensure you are able to challenge yourself with more advanced readings; conversely, if it is too challenging, feel free to reach out for other readings.

**Assessment**

* Participation: 10%
* Final presentation (in teams): 20%
* Final Policy Paper: 70% (deadline: TBC, via Moodle)

**Grading**

CEU uses a system of letter grades and grade points for evaluation:

**A** 3.68 – 4.00

**A-** 3.34 – 3.67

**B+** 3.01 – 3.33

**B** 2.68 – 3.00

**B-** 2.34 – 2.67

**C+** 2.33 (minimum pass).

##### **Inclusive Learning Policy**

As course instructor I endeavor to provide an inclusive learning environment. However, if you experience barriers to learning in this course, please consult CEU’s [Student Disability Policy](https://documents.ceu.edu/node/508) and feel free to meet CEU’s Student Disability Services Officer Natalia Nagyné Nyikes (nyikesn@ceu.edu).

# Course Requirements, Expectations and Classroom Policy

Attendance is expected*.* You are required to complete assigned readings prior to class. Use of laptops/tablets is permitted for study purposes only (not social media or email, for instance). Mobile phones should not be used during classes. Non-compliance will affect participation grades.

# Good Academic Practices

Students are expected to abide by standards of academic integrity. Academic dishonesty – plagiarism, cheating or breaking other norms stipulated by the CEU Code of Ethics – will result in a failing grade. If you do not understand how to properly cite, consult your academic writing instructor and/or refer to your academic writing reader.  **Your paper will be run through**[**Turnitin**](https://www.turnitin.com/)**, software that checks for plagiarism.** For detailed information, please consult CEU’s Policy on Student Plagiarism.

# Background Course Reading

There is no set textbook for this course. Those wishing to gain background might consult:

* Mazower, M. 2012. *Governing the World. The History of an Idea*. New York: Penguin.
* Stone, D and Kim Moloney eds., 2019. *The Oxford Handbook of Global Policy and Transnational Administration*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Weiss, T and Wilkinson, R eds., 2021. *Global Governance Futures*. Routledge.
* Ord, T. 2020. *The Precipice*. London: Bloomsbury.

**Overview**

1. Introduction
2. Global Governance
3. Why Histories Matter
4. Global Public Policy
5. Global Public Goods and Governors
6. Innovation: Networks and Partnerships
7. The Global South in Global Governance: China
8. Case Study: Global Climate Governance
9. Global Transformations
10. Advocating for Global Public Goods: Working Groups
11. Group Presentations: Global Policy Themes
12. Conclusions

***PLEASE NOTE****: The schedule below is accurate at the time of publication. Amendments are highly likely to be made prior to and during the course. You will be notified via Moodle about any amendments, including changes in the Required Readings.*

***The Moodle site should always be regarded and consulted as the most up to date course resource.***

**Week 1: Introduction**

This class will introduce the content, approach and organization of the course. It will also seek to understand your own interests and objectives concerning this course.

*Recommended*

* *Film*: Don’t Look Up (dir. Adam McKay, 2021).
* *Novel*: Stanley Robinson, K. 2020. *The Ministry for the Future*, pp. 1-26.
* Coleman, W. D. 2012. Governance and Global Public Policy. In David Levi-Faur ed., *The Oxford Handbook of Governance.* Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 673-685.
* Stone, D. (2019). *Making* *Global Policy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

**Week 2:** **Global Governance: Yesterday’s Dream?**

This class will grapple with understanding global governance as a contested concept with a view to establishing conceptual and empirical foundations. It will also consider key related terms, globalization and sovereignty. How is sovereignty, a key enabler of governance, affected by globalization? Is global governance ‘yesterday’s dream’?

*Required Reading*

* Karns, M. P., K. A. Mongst, K. W. Stiles. 2015. The Challenges of Global Governance. In *International Organizations: The Politics and processes of Global Governance,* edited by Karns et al. Boulder: Lynne Rienner, pp. 1-42.
* Reinicke, W. 1998. *Global Public Policy: Governing Without Government?*. Brookings Institution Press, pp. 53-59 and 62-70.

*Supplementary Reading*

* Zürn, M. (2018). *A theory of global governance: Authority, legitimacy, and contestation*. Oxford University Press. See *International Theory* [13,1 (March 2021)](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/international-theory/issue/D01475CA863EC83700B5B1D42984AD4D) for debates about this.
* Weiss, T. G., & Wilkinson, R. 2014. Rethinking global governance? Complexity, authority, power, change. *International Studies Quarterly*, *58*(1), pp. 207-215.
* Dingwerth, Klaus and Philipp Pattberg. 2009. Actors, arenas and issues in global governance. In Jim Whitman ed., *Palgrave Advances in Global Governance*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 41-65.
* Commission on Global Governance. 1995. *Our Global Neighbourhood: The Report of the Commission on Global Governance*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Chapters 1 and 2.
* Bolton, J. R. 2000. Should We Take Global Governance Seriously?, *Chicago Journal of International Law 1*(2), pp. 205-221.
* Whitman, J. 2002. Global Governance as the Friendly Face of Unaccountable Power, *Security Dialogue* *33*(1), pp. 46-57.
* Held, D. 2016. Elements of a theory of global governance. *Philosophy & Social Criticism*, *42*(9), pp. 837-846.
* Zhao, T. 2006. Rethinking Empire from a Chinese Concept ‘All-under-Heaven’(*Tian-xia).* *Social Identities*, *12*(1), pp. 29-41.
* Lin, J. Y and Wang, Y. 2016. Emerging Global Governance (EGG): An Economist’s Perspective, [*Global Policy*](https://www.globalpolicyjournal.com/blog/31/08/2016/emerging-global-governance-egg-economist%E2%80%99s-perspective?utm_campaign=3b069a8d60-RSS_EMAIL_CAMPAIGN&utm_medium=email&utm_source=Global%20Policy%20List&utm_term=0_7c8b1a6824-3b069a8d60-324846693), 31 August 2016.
* Funez-Flores, J. I. 2022. Toward decolonial globalization studies. *Globalisation, Societies and Education*. 8 March.
* Pouliot, V., & Thérien, J. P. 2018. Global governance in practice. *Global Policy*, *9*(2), pp. 163-172.
* Gill, S. 2019. Global Governance “As It Was, Is and Ought to Be”: A Critical Reflection. *Global Governance*, *25*(3), pp. 371-392.

**Week 3: Why Histories Matter**

This session will require you to think about and discuss historical change, and the relevance of global histories to global governance and public policy today. How would you present a history of global governance?

*Required Reading*

* Weiss, T.G. and Wilkinson, R., 2019. Why History Matters. In Weiss and Wilkinson, *Rethinking Global Governance.* Cambridge:Polity, pp. 42- 60.
* Murphy, C. N. 2014. Global governance over the long haul. *International Studies Quarterly*, *58*(1), pp. 216-218.
* Hurrell, A. 2017. Can the Study of Global Governance Be Decentred? In Triandafyllidou, A ed., *Global Governance from Regional Perspectives: A Critical View.* OUP online
* Mishra, P. 2012. *From the Ruins of Empire: The Revolt Against the West and the Remaking of Asia*. London: Allen Lane, pp. 1-8.

*Supplementary Reading*

* Murphy, C. N., & Yates, J. 2015. Afterword: The Globalizing Governance of International Communications: Market Creation and Voluntary Consensus Standard Setting. *Journal of Policy History*, *27*(3), pp. 550-558.
* Kant, I. (1795). Perpetual Peace: A Philosophical Sketch.
* Zhao, T. 2006. Rethinking Empire from a Chinese Concept ‘All-under-Heaven’(*Tian-xia).* *Social Identities*, *12*(1), pp. 29-41.
* Fukuyama, F. 1989. The End of History? *The National Interest.*
* Weiss, T. G. 2009. What Happened to the Idea of World Government? *International Studies Quarterly* 53, pp. 253-271.
* Hobson, J. M. 2020. Globalization. In Arlene B. Tickner and Karen Smith eds., *International Relations from the Global South.* Routledge, pp. 221-239.
* Andrews, N. and Okpanachi, E., 2020. Depoliticisation and ahistoricism of transparency and accountability via global norms: assessing the EITI in Ghana and Nigeria. *Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*, *58*(2), pp.228-249.
* Deciancio, M. and Tussie, D. 2020. Globalizing Global Governance: Peripheral Thoughts from Latin America. *Fudan Journal of the Humanities and Social Sciences*, *13*(1), pp. 29-44.
* Lake, M. 2012. *Drawing the Global Colour Line: White Men's Countries and the International Challenge of Racial Equality.* Cambridge University Press.
* Getachew, A. 2019. *Worldmaking after Empire*. Princeton University Press.
* Vanessa Ogle, V. 2015. *The Global Transformation of Time, 1870-1950*. Harvard University Press.
* Stallard, K. 2022. *Dancing on Bones: History and Power in China, Russia, and North Korea*. Oxford University Press.
* Osterholm, M.T. 2005. Preparing for the Next Pandemic, *Foreign Affairs,* July/August.

**Week 4: Global Public Policy**

What is global public policy? This class explores the idea, with particular attention to public goods and other approaches. What are public goods? How can they be provided in an age of globalization? The provision of public goods is a key function of public policy and governance. Why does a growing number of public goods need to be provided beyond the national level and what challenges do we confront when operationalizing their provision?

*Required*

* Porto de Oliveira, O. 2022. ‘Global Public Policy studies’, *Policy and Politics* 50, 1, pp. 59-77.
* Video (7.55 mins): MRUniversity, ‘[A Deeper Look at Public Goods’](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hA2z-X31IvI).
* Malkin, Jesse and Wildavsky, Aaron. 1991. ‘Why the Traditional Distinction between Public and Private Goods Should be Abandoned’, *Journal of Theoretical Politics* 3(4): Section 1, pp.355-357; Section 4-9, pp. 361-373.

*Supplementary Reading/Watching*

* Pouliot, Vincent, and Jean-Philippe Thérien. 2023. *Global Policymaking*. Cambridge University Press.
* \*Moloney, K. (2021). Deconcentrated global governance, transnational administration, and the public administration discipline. *Global Public Policy and Governance*, 1-27.
* Moloney, K., & Stone, D. 2019. Beyond the state: Global policy and transnational administration. *International Review of Public Policy*, *1*(1: 1), pp. 104-118.
* Coleman, W.D. 2019. Scales and Network Societies: The Expansion of Global Public Policy. In *The Oxford Handbook of Global Policy and Transnational Administration*. Oxford University Press.
* Yeates, N ed., 2014. *Understanding Global Social Policy*. Bristol: Policy Press (2nd edn).
* True, J. 2003. Mainstreaming gender in global public policy. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, *5*(3), pp. 368-396.
* Muth, K. (2019). The potential and limits of administrative sovereignty. In D. Stone & K. Moloney (Eds.), *Oxford Handbook on Global Policy and Transnational Administration*, pp. 59–74. Oxford University Press.
* Thérien, J. P., & Pouliot, V. 2020. Global governance as patchwork: the making of the Sustainable Development Goals. *Review of International Political Economy*, *27*(3), pp. 612-636.
* McInnes, C., 2020. Global health governance. *The Oxford Handbook of Global Health Politics*, pp. 263-279.
* Weiner, D. 2012. The Universal and the Particular in Policy Analysis and Training. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice*, 12 (1).
* Severino, J-M and Ray, O. 2009. The End of ODA: Death and Rebirth of a Global Public Policy. Available at [SSRN](http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1392460).

**Week 5:** **Global Public Goods and Governors**

How is the role of International Organizations (IOs) changing in response to globalization and the need to provide global public goods (GPGs)? This session provides an introduction to global institutions, governors and the changing operational dynamics of IOs. While IOs (and the states that empower them) have been and remain dominant actors in this policy space, civil society and the private sector have taken on increasingly important roles. In addition, we will take a first look at the changing organizational and policy requirements of IOs as the demand for GPGs continues to rise.

*Required Reading*

*Reading for Survey*

* Kaul, I and Mendoza, R. 2003. ‘Advancing the Concept of Public Goods’ in: Inge Kaul et. al. eds., Providing Global Public Goods. Managing Globalization. Oxford: Oxford University Press: **select pages (3 pages).**

*Further On Global Public Goods*

* Kaul, I. 2013. ‘Global Public Goods, A concept for framing the Post-2015 Agenda?’. Discussion Paper, 2/2013. Bonn: d•i•e:**pp. 1-6 (6 pages).**
* Carbone, M. 2007. ‘[Supporting or Resisting Global Public Goods? The Policy Dimension of a Contested Concep](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/27800653.pdf?refreqid=excelsior%3A1a56e5f5a92fccb6595a0a8b1059c609.)t’, Global Governance, 13(2): **pp. 179-186, 193 (8 pages)**.
* Kaul, I. 2017. “[Providing global public goods: What role for the multilateral development banks](https://www.odi.org/sites/odi.org.uk/files/resource-documents/11724.pdf)?. London: ODI. April 2017.  **pp. 7-8; pp. 25-26 and study Figure 4 (4 pages).**

*On Global "Governors"/International Organizations*

* Abbott, K. W., Genschel, P, Snidal, D and Bernhard Zangl. 2015. “Introduction.” In *International Organizations as Orchestrators* edited by Kenneth W. Abbot, Philipp Genschel, Duncan Snidal and Bernhard Zangl. Cambridge University Press: pp. **1 – 36.**

*Supplementary Reading*

* Acharya, A. and Plesch, D., 2020. The United Nations: Managing and Reshaping a Changing World Order. *Global Governance:* *26*(2), pp. 221-235.
* Kaul, I. 2019. A Global Public Good Perspective. *The Oxford Handbook of Global Policy and Transnational Administration*.
* Milhorance, C and Soule-Kohndou, F. 2017. South-South Cooperation and Change in International Organizations, *Global Governance* 23, pp. 461-481.
* Eberlein, B. 2019. Who fills the global governance gap? Rethinking the roles of business and government in global governance. *Organization Studies*, *40*(8), pp. 1125-1145.
* Avant, Deborah D., M. Finnemore, and S. K. Sell eds. 2010. *Who Governs the Globe?* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
* Peters, M. A., Hollings, S., Green, B., & Ogunniran, M. O. 2020. The WHO, the global governance of health and pandemic politics.
* Hoxtell, W. 2017. Multi Stakeholder Partnerships and the 2030 Agenda: Challenges and Options for Oversight at the United Nations, Berlin, GPPi
* Bernstein, S. and Cashore, B., 2012. Complex global governance and domestic policies: four pathways of influence. *International Affairs*, *88*(3), pp.585-604.
* Chimni, B. S. 2017. International institutions today: an imperial global state in the making. In *Globalization and International Organizations* (pp. 41-78). Routledge.
* Sénit, C.-A. 2020. ‘Leaving no one behind? The influence of civil society participation on the Sustainable Development Goals’, *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*, 38(4), pp. 693–712.

*Groups for the final presentations will be selected via a survey after this session.*

**Week 6:** **Innovation: Networks and Partnerships**

Multi-Stakeholder Partnerships (MSP) are often promoted as an innovative tool for global governance, and are said to overcome the inertia of conventional forms of global governance. Among others, they figure prominently in the implementation of the SDGs. Can they deliver what they promise? This session will examine their strengths and weaknesses, using the case of the Extractive Industry Transparency Initiative (EITI), a MSP that addresses the problem of the resource curse.

**Part A: Reading for ALL (required):**

*Some Basics on Partnerships*

* Hazlewood, Peter. 2015. “[Global Multi-stakeholder Partnerships: Scaling up public private collective impact for the SDGs](https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/1738Global%20Multistakeholder.pdf)”. Background Paper 4. World Resources Institute. **6p.**
* Martens, Jens. 2007. “[Multistakeholder Partnerships-Future Models of Multilateralism?](http://library.fes.de/pdf-files/iez/04244.pdf)”. Dialogue On Globalization, Occasional Papers Number 29. Friedrich Ebert Foundation, Berlin: (executive summary only) **3p**;

*An Introduction to EITI*

* Virginia Haufler. 2010. “Disclosure as Governance: The Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative and Resource Management in the Developing World,” Global Environmental Politics, 10 (3): 53-73. **16p**.
* **Some short videos on the EITI (not required but worth browsing):**
* Making Resources Work for People - [Part 1](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eXHE2daq6vM); and [Part 2](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=75WmQDuQNQ0);
* EITI [- Seeing results from natural resources 2013](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8UGmW7skqZk);
* EITI [2016](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p23EvCLtsUc);
* EITI, [Transparency Counts](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wJU3Ru22Z6U);
* EITI, [Beneficial Ownership Disclosure under the EITI Standard](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Plbb78zWEVA);
* EITI [Progress Report 2018](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EWgX5MJWzH4);
* For the 2020 EITI Work Plan and budget please see [here](https://eiti.org/files/documents/international_secretariat_work_plan_2020.pdf):

**Part B: Readings for different teams (see Moodle)**

We will divide into 4 teams:

1. *The EITI & Key Countries: the US & China*
2. *The EITI and Civil Society*
3. *The EITI and International Organizations: The World Bank*
4. *Evaluating the EITI*

*Each will present key findings about their theme. Please consult the Moodle course site for different readings for each team in addition to the above.*

**Week 7: The Global South in Global Governance: China**

How are ‘emerging powers’ changing global governance and the nature of and prospects for global public policy? How is the diffusion of global power and evolving order affect the future prospects for effective global governance? This class uses China as the key case.

*Required Reading*

* Economy, Elizabeth. 2022. Xi Jinping's New World Order: Can China Remake the International System?’, *Foreign Affairs* 101 (Jan/Feb).
* Hopgood, S. 2021. Human rights after the West: Goodbye to all that. In *Global Governance Futures*, edited by Thomas Weiss and Rorden Wilkinson:  120-131.
* Inboden, Rana Siu. 2022. China and Authoritarian Collaboration, *Journal of Contemporary China*, 31 (136): 505-517.

*Supplementary Reading*

* Cooper, A.F. and Stolte, C., 2020. Insider and outsider strategies of influence: The BRICS’ dualistic approach towards informal institutions. *New Political Economy*, *25*(5), pp.703-714.
* Zhao, S. 2020. Rhetoric and Reality of China’s Global Leadership in the Context of COVID-19: Implications for the US-led World Order and Liberal Globalization. *Journal of Contemporary China*, pp. 1-16.
* Chen, Z and Zhang, X. 2020. Chinese conception of the world order in a turbulent Trump era, *The Pacific Review*, *33*(3-4), pp. 438-468.
* Liu, H. 2020. Chinese perception of China’s engagement in multilateralism and global governance. *The Pacific Review*, 1-28.
* Bishop, M. L & Murray-Evans, P. 2020. Five Little B(R)ICS: Huffing and Puffing, but not Blowing Your House in, *New Political Economy*, 25, 5, pp. 691-702.
* Yang, Y. E. 2020. China’s Strategic Narratives in Global Governance Reform under Xi Jinping, *Journal of Contemporary China*.
* Zarakol, A. 2019. Rise of the rest: As hype and reality. *International Relations*, *33*(2), pp. 213-228.
* Mabera, F. 2019. Africa and the G20: A relational view of African agency in global governance. *South African Journal of International Affairs*, *26*(4), 583-599.
* Narlikar, A. (2017). India’s Role in global governance: a Modi-fication? *International Affairs* *93*(1), 93-111.
* Burges, S. W. 2020. *Brazil in the World*. Manchester University Press.
* Kornegay, F. A. Jr. and N. Bohler-Muller eds. 2013. *Laying the BRICS of a New Global Order.* Pretoria: Africa Institute of South Africa.
* Morton, K. 2020. China’s Global Governance Interactions. In Shambaugh, D. ed., *China and the World*. Oxford University Press: 156-180.
* Zeng, Jinghan. 2019. Chinese views of global economic governance. *Third World Quarterly* 40(3): 578-594.
* Foot, R. 2020. *China, the UN, and human protection: Beliefs, power, image*. Oxford University Press.
* Hopewell, K. (2021). Power transitions and global trade governance: The impact of a rising China on the export credit regime. *Regulation & Governance*.

**Week 8: Case Study: Global Climate Change Governance and Policy**

This class will examine global climate governance and policy as a more indepth case study concerning the themes, issues and questions already raised. What are the prospects for effective global action to address global climate change?

*Required*

* Dauvergne*,* P. 2021.Global Governance and the Anthropocene: Explaining the Escalating Global Crisis, in Weiss and Wilkinson eds. *Global Governance Futures.*
* Biermann, F., 2021. The future of ‘environmental’ policy in the Anthropocene: Time for a paradigm shift. *Environmental Politics*, *30*(1-2), pp.61-80.
* IPCC, ‘[Headline Statements’](https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGI_Headline_Statements.pdf) (2 pages), IPCC, 9 August 2021.

*Recommended*

* IPCC, Climate Change 2021: the Physical Science Basis ‘[Summary for Policymakers’](https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGI_SPM.pdf) (39 pages) or ‘[Headline Statements’](https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGI_Headline_Statements.pdf) (2 pages), IPCC, 9 August 2021.
* IPCC, Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. 9 February 2022.

*Supplementary*

* Coen, D, Kreienkamp, J and Pegram, T. 2020. *Global Climate Governance.* Cambridge.
* Biermann, F. 2014. The Anthropocene: A governance perspective. *The Anthropocene Review*, *1*(1): 57-61
* Drahos, P. (2021). *Survival governance: Energy and climate in the Chinese century*. Oxford University Press, USA.
* Scholte, J.A., Verhaegen, S. and Tallberg, J., 2021. Elite attitudes and the future of global governance. *International Affairs*, *97*(3), pp.861-886.
* Kreienkamp, J. and Pegram, T., 2021. Governing complexity: Design principles for the governance of complex global catastrophic risks. *International Studies Review*, *23*(3), pp.779-806.

**Week 9: Global Transformations**

This class will ask you to identify and consider key changes underway in global politics that might be considered transformative in terms of implications for global governance and public policy. It will also ask you to debate whether or not the world is heading towards global public policy. Is, as some argue, a global public sector taking shape?

*Required Listening/Watching/Reading*

* Film (34 mins): UN, [Urgent Solutions for Urgent Times](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xVWHuJOmaEk&feature=emb_logo), 19 September 2020.
* Resolution adopted by the General Assembly on 21 September 2020, Declaration on the commemoration of the seventy-fifth anniversary of the United Nations, 5pp.
* UN Secretary General, Our Common Agenda Key Proposals (September 2021), 1 page.

##### Vlerick, M. (2020). Towards global cooperation: The case for a Deliberative Global Citizens' assembly. *Global Policy*, 11(3), 305-314.

*Supplementary Reading*

* Podcast: [Start Here: What is the internet doing to us](https://www.nytimes.com/2020/04/22/podcasts/rabbit-hole-prologue.html)? April 22, 2020 Podcast.
* Eilstrup-Sangiovanni, M and Hofmann, S. C. 2020. Of the contemporary global order, crisis, and change, *Journal of European Public Policy*, 27 (7), pp. 1077-1089.
* Goodman, S. W., & Schimmelfennig, F. 2020. Migration: a step too far for the contemporary global order?. *Journal of European Public Policy*, *27*(7), pp. 1103-1113.
* Volkmer, I. 2019. The Transnationalization of Public Spheres and Global Policy. In *The Oxford Handbook of Global Policy and Transnational Administration*. Oxford University Press.
* Chou, M. H., & Ravinet, P. 2019. Designing global public policies in the twenty-first century. *Handbook on Global Policy and Transnational Administration*, pp. 437-452.
* Acharya, A. 2017. After Liberal Hegemony: The Advent of a Multiplex World Order. *Ethics and International Affairs 31*(3), pp. 271-285.
* Scholte, J.A., 2020. After Liberal Global Democracy: New Methodology for New Praxis. *Fudan Journal of the Humanities and Social Sciences*, *13*(1), pp.67-92.
* Lee, K.F., 2018. *AI superpowers: China, Silicon Valley, and the new world order*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
* Scott, J., & Wilkinson, R. 2020. Reglobalizing trade: progressive global governance in an age of uncertainty. *Globalizations*, pp. 1-15.
* Lopez-Claros, A., Dahl, A.L. and Groff, M., 2020. *Global governance and the emergence of global institutions for the 21st century*. Cambridge University Press.
* Kopiński, Dominik, and Marek Wróblewski. "Reimagining the World Bank: Global Public Goods in an Age of Crisis." *World Affairs* 184, no. 2 (2021): 151-175.
* Cashore, B., Knudsen, J.S., Moon, J. and van der Ven, H., 2021. Private authority and public policy interactions in global context: Governance spheres for problem solving. *Regulation & Governance*.
* Tooze, A. 2021. *Shutdown: How Covid Shook the World’s Economy*. Viking.

*Please ensure you have decided on your final paper topic by the beginning of April..*

**Week 10: Advocating for Global Public Goods: Working Groups**

This session will involve small groups developing and advocating for funding their global public good. It will extend our NPG class to the global level, and serve as preparation for the final small group presentations. In a role-playing exercise, each group is asked to prepare arguments and supporting evidence to present a compelling case for funding their GPG. These will be presented to the instructors and class, for adjudication and feedback.

Questions will be sent in advance in order for individuals to prepare. During class, each group will need to discuss and harmonize their answers, and prepare and deliver a short presentation to the whole group. Following each group presentation, everyone will vote and offer feedback.

*There are no set readings for this class or next week; please undertake your own research.*

This class stands alone in its own right, by considering ways forward and questions about future challenges in global governance and public policy. However, it is also intended to enable small groups to prepare for their final presentations.

**Week 11:** **Group Presentations: Global Policy Themes**

This exercise seeks to get you to apply the concepts we have studied in class to actual policy domains in global governance and public policy. It will involve small groups preparing a short, ten-minute presentation to the rest of the group.  Each group is required to:

1. Provide a diagnosis of the problem in your chosen global policy area;
2. Evaluate how effective efforts have been in tackling this to date;
3. Propose possible alternative institutional and/or policy measures that could be taken to better address this, evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of these measures
4. Provide a concluding assessment of the likely efficacy of the measures you are proposing.

After each presentation, there will be about 15 minutes of follow up questions. *Full details on Moodle*.

*Please note: there are no assigned readings for this exercise. Instead, please draw on the readings we have covered so far, if applicable, and supplement this by whatever additional research you deem necessary and appropriate to prepare your presentation.*

**Week 12:** **Conclusions**

What are the key conclusions from this course? What questions do you have going forward?