Contemporary Social Theory Mandatory Course 4 credits Winter Semester 2014-2015

Instructor: Jean-Louis Fabiani

If we take a look backwards at the last thirty years of sociological theory, we may have mixed feelings. On the one hand, macro-sociological constructions (in other words, « grand theory ») seem to belong to a kind of metaphysical age of social sciences: it would be difficult to define oneself as a new Emile Durkheim or Talcott Parsons. A complete system of society would be hard to find today. On the other hand, there is a growing interest in social theory as such, Jeffrey Alexander being the best example of the revival of general theory.

As socio-anthropologists devoted to empirical research, we certainly do not dismiss the importance of theorizing in our disciplines: but, as Anselm Strauss said, it is possible to consider that the best theories are « grounded » in field research. Theories are means and not ends: they help us describe precisely the social worlds that we choose to study, and to develop general statements about them. We need theory to prevent us from over-interpretation, ethnocentrism and hasty generalizations, but we must be careful about pure theorizing.

The aim of this course is to propose a kind of « reconstructionist » approach to social theory, after decades of deconstruction. Of course, we have to take into account and to make use of deconstructionist approaches and of the various « turns » undertaken by sociology (linguistic, pragmatic, hermeneutic, historical and so on and so forth): but we must propose new frames for comparison and generalization of statements and observations. Those frames must be reflexive and non-« essentialists », according to the

status of our objects (phenomenological and reflexive social worlds); they must contribute to the unended but unescapable discussion about the nature of the laws that we are able to determine in the social sciences.

A special attention will be given to theories that take into account their « grounded » dimension. After having defined conceptually the theorizing process, the seminar will draw attention on two types of theorization:

- -the first may be called endogenous, is produced by sociologists who have to cope with the peculiarities of their field: Erving Goffman, Anselm Strauss, James Coleman, Andrew Abbott, Harrison White, Randall Collins, Pierre Bourdieu, Luc Boltanski and Laurent Thevenot, Margaret Somers.
- -the second, I call it exogenous, is not produced by empirical sociologists, but by philosophers or other theoreticians who are interested in historical or sociological processes: Jürgen Habermas, Axel Honneth, Michel Foucault, Bruno Latour.

Based on intensive reading of texts and confronting them with various sociological fields, this course aims at providing an original framework: a post interactionist and processual approach to social theory based on a critical study of the logical processes that lead us to make general or universal statements about society.

Learning outcomes:

Through the course, students develop an articulate, personal, and dialogical position on the following questions:

- --How do I define theory and what do I need theory for? What use will I put it to in my empirical work? Is my work rather theory- or empirically-driven?
- --Which methodological choices and practices do my preferred theoretical frame(s) imply?
- --Which definitions of culture, agency, practice, knowledge, reflexivity, interpretation, power, structure, system, etc. are important to my work? How does my work fit in the long-term history of broader debates about these notions in the social sciences? In case I do not use some of these notions, what are the positive reasons not to?
- --What is my position on the general questions of: *is the concept of culture relevant to social theory today, and in what respect?*what do we need a concept of power for? *what is the critical potential of the notion of social system? *what is the nature of the relationship, if there is one, between micro-level interaction and macro-level social systems? Is the micro-macro link a theoretical fiction or a real causal relationship, in particular? *What do I do when I do research and theorize through sociology? What do I expect my activity as a social scientist to produce? Are my expectations well founded; are they meaningful in any publicly arguable way?

Requirements:

- --Regular attendance and participation (15% of grade). One unjustified absence will not affect the participation grade.
- --In-class presentation on a mandatory reading. The presentation offers a personal view of the text, as well as 2-4 clearly articulated questions to be debated collectively.
- --Critical summary of a supplementary reading. (25%)
- --One final paper: an essay and a bibliography. 3000 ,words cc(60%)

Week 1

Introduction

- **A. What is Theory?** (Lecture)
- B. Screening: Pierre Bourdieu. Sociology is a martial art

Week 2 The Geopolitics of Theory

A. Lecture Theory from the South?

B. Gabriel Abend, "The Meaning of Theory", *Sociological Theory* Volume 26, Issue 2, pages 173–199, June 2008

Raewyn Connell. 2006. "Northern social theory: The political geography of general social theory. *Theory and Society* 35: 237-64.

Week 3 The Meaning of Theory

- A. Fuchs, Stephen, Against Essentialism, ch 1
- B Judith Butler : Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity, (1990), New York, Routledge, ch 1

"Towards a Theory of Discursive Practice" in Herbert L. Dreyfus and Paul Rabinow, eds., *Michel Foucault: Beyond Structuralism and Hermeneutics* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1982)

Week 4

4 The Organization of Experience

A. Lecture Interaction Rituals

B. Erving Goffman, *Frame Analysis*, 1974, ch 2 Erving Goffman, *Frame Analysis*, 1974, ch 3 and Margaret Archer, "Morphogenesis vs Structuration: on combining structure and action", *British Journal of Sociology*, 1982, 33:4

Week 5

The Theory of Practice

A. Practice, routine, improvisation (lecture)

B.Pierre Bourdieu, Outline of a Theory of Practice, ch. 2 and 3.

Week 6 The Practice of Practice

A Michel de Certeau, The Practice of Everyday Life, Introduction, chapters 7 and 8

B Dona Haraway, "Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial perspective" in Primate visions: gender, race, and nature in the world of modern science, New York: Routledge, 1989.

Charles Taylor (1994) "To follow a rule" in LiPuma et al. *Pierre Bourdieu: Critical perspectives.*, Chicago: U of C Press.

Ann Swidler. 1986. "Culture in Action: Symbols and Strategies" *American Sociological Review* 51:273-86.

7 <u>Rational Choice Theory</u>

A. How Rational are we? (Lecture)

B. James Coleman, The Foundations of Social Theory, ch 7 and 9. Margaret Somers – 'We're no angels: Realism, rational choice, and relationality in social science'?, *American Journal of Sociology*, vol 104, 1998

Week 8 The Social Link

A. Societies, Associations, Networks (Lecture)

B. Bruno Latour "How to Keep the social flat, first and second move", *Reassembling the Social*, Oxford, 2005

Mustafa Emirbaier, "Manifesto for a Relational Sociology", *American Journal of Sociology*, vol. 103 (1997)

Week 9 <u>Marxism or Post-Marxism?</u>

A. Making Sense of Marx (Lecture)

B. Moishe Postone "Necessity, Labor and Time: A Reinterpretation of the Marxian Critique of Capitalism", *Social Research*, 45-4 (1978)
Michael Burawoy and Erik Olin Wright, "Sociological Marxism", *Handbook of Sociological Theory*, (Jonathan Turner, ed.), 2002
Michael Burawoy, Marxism after Communism (video, CriticAtac)

Week 10 Eventful Theory

A. **The Return of the Event** (Lecture)

B. William Sewell Jr William H. Sewell Jr. 1996. "Three Temporalities: Toward an Eventful Sociology" Pp.245-280 in Terence McDonald (ed.) *The Historic Turn in the Human Sciences*. Michigan.

Andrew Abbott, chapter One, *Time Matters. On Theory and Method*, Chicago, 2001

Week 11 Time and Social Explanation

A. Tilly, Charles. 1994. "The Time of States". Social Research, vol.61, no2, pp. 269-295.

B. Tilly, Charles. 2005. "Why and How History Mattters", in Robert E.

Goodin and Charles Tilly, editors, Oxford Handbook of Contextual Political Analysis. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Calhoun, Craig. in Terrence J. McDonald, ed., *The historic turn* in the *human sciences*,. Ann Arbor, The University of Michigan Press, 1996.

Week 12 On Critique

A. What is critical sociology? (Lecture)

B. Luc Boltanski and Laurent Thevenot, *On Justification*, Preface and Afterword, Princeton, 2001 Luc Boltanski, *On Critique*, chapter 4. Jean-Louis Fabiani, "The two Boltanskis", *European Journal of Social Theory*, 2011, n°14-3, 401-406